WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

1	,	
	,	
١		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Clark Timothy Poland			imothy Poland	_ Case Numbe	r:	11-6205M		
	cordance stablishe		e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § (Check one or both, as applicable.)	` ' ·	has	s been held. I conclude that the following facts		
	•	clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant and ing trial in this case.						
		orepondenthis cas		ndant is a serious flight risk a	nd	require the detention of the defendant pending		
			PA	RT I FINDINGS OF FACT	1			
	(1)	There	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed					
			an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.					
			an offense under 18 U.S.C.	§§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).			
			an offense listed in 18 U.S.C imprisonment of ten years o	c. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal r more is prescribed.	crin	mes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of		
			an offense involving a minor	victim prescribed in		1		
	(2)	The condi	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination o conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.					
				Alternative Findings				
	(1)	There	here is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure appearance of the defendant as required.					
\boxtimes	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.						
	(3)	There a pros	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).					
	(4)							
				TATEMENT OF REASONS heck one or both, as applicable.)	FC	OR DETENTION		
	(1)		hat the credible testimony and i danger that:	nformation submitted at the h	neai	ring establish by clear and convincing evidence		

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity offense), § 2422 (coercion or enticement for a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor).

Case 2:11-mj-06205-DKD Document 7 Filed 04/27/11 Page 2 of 2

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The d	efendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
×	In add	ition: efendant submitted the issue of detention. Defense counsel reserves the right to revisit the issue of detention.
time of		ourt incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at tharing in this matter.
		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
appeal of the U	ctions fa . The d Jnited S	efendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement acility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending efendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a countation or request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
Court. service	a copy Pursua of a co	DRDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date opy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
	es suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrictionally in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and potential third party custodian.
Date:	A	April 26, 2011 Michelle H. Burns

United States Magistrate Judge